



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/732,315	12/07/2000	Loi Han	5589-1045	1550	
33417 7.	590 07/13/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
LEWIS, BRIS	SBOIS, BISGAARD & S	LEE, CHEUKFAN			
221 NORTH F	IGUEROA STREET				
SUITE 1200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANGELE	S, CA 90012		2625	·	

DATE MAILED: 07/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	" 			
Office Action Summary		09/732,315	HAN ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Cheukfan Lee	2625				
The MAILING DATE of this Period for Reply	s communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence ad	ddress			
- Failure to reply within the set or extended po	M THE MAILING DA the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 a of this communication. a maximum statutory period we eriod for reply will, by statute, hree months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to communica	tion(s) filed on 20 Az	oril 2006.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .		action is non-final.					
3)⊠ Since this application is in	condition for allowar	ice except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is			
closed in accordance with	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pendir	ng in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) _	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13 and 14</u> is/are a	allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are reject	cted.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 15-20</u> is	7) Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 15-20</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subjec	t to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objecte	d to by the Examine						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07</u>	<u>December 2000</u> is/ar	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object	ed to by the Exan	niner.			
Applicant may not request that	at any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s	s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is o	bjected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a) All b) Some * c) N	-	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the	e priority documents	have been received.					
<i>y</i> •	•	have been received in Applicati					
Copies of the certified	ed copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National	Stage			
application from the		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
* See the attached detailed O	ffice action for a list o	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	n. 1 (n=0.515)	4) Interview Summary					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (P 	•	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P		O-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6) 🔲 Other:					

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/732,315

Art Unit: 2625

- 1. Claims 1-20 remain in the application for examination.
- 2. This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters:

In claim 1, lines 3 of the claim, "scale comprising" should be changed to – scale, comprising --; and

line 5, after "housing;", -- and – should be inserted.

In claim 2, lines 2-3, "scale comprising" should be changed to – scale, comprising --;

line 5, after "housing;" – and – should be inserted --; and line 8, "image;" should be changed to – image, --.

In claim 6, line 3, "scale comprising" should be changed to - scale, comprising --.

In claim 10, line 3, "scale comprising" should be changed to – scale, comprising --; and

line 12, "scale;" should be changed to – scale, --.

In claim 12, line 3, "scale comprising" should be changed to – scale, comprising --; and

line 12, "scale;" should be changed to - scale, --.

Art Unit: 2625

In claim 15, line 1, "image comprising" should be changed to – image, comprising --; and

line 5, "image;" should be changed to – image, --.

In claim 16, line 1, "image comprising" should read – image, comprising --; and line 5, "image;" should be changed to – image, --.

In claim 17, line 1, "image comprising" should be changed to – image, comprising --; and

line 5, "image;" should be changed to – image, --.

In claim 18, line 1, "image comprising" should be changed to – image, comprising --; and

line 5, "image;" should be changed to - image, --.

In claim 19, line 1, "image comprising" should be changed to – image, comprising --; and

line 5, "image;" should be changed to – image, --.

In claim 20, "image comprising" should be changed to – image, comprising --; and

Art Unit: 2625

line 5, "image;" should be changed to - image, --.

Claims 1, 2, 6, 10, 12, and 15-20 are objected to in this Office Action. Claims 3-5, 7-9, and 11 are objected to as being dependent on an objected claim. **Thus, claims**1-12 and 15-20 are objected to in this Office Action.

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO**MONTHS from the mailing date of this letter.

3. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Claim 1 would be allowable. The examiner agrees with Applicant's remarks that the there is no motivation to combine the teaching of Ishibe et al. (5,838,364) and McConica et al. (5,710,425) to provide a scanner having a housing having a scanning surface thereon on which objects being scanned are placed as claimed, since it is the piece of film itself is a scanning surface in Ishibe, not the housing that provides for a scanning surface as claimed. The examiner noted that the piece film is inserted to film guide groove (3) in Figs. 1 and 4B of Iishibe et al, which supports the piece film during transporting and scanning of the piece film (col. 3, lines 23-43 and col. 4, lines 11-20). See Applicant's remarks, section II, B on pages 10-14.

Claims 3-5 depend on claim 1.

Art Unit: 2625

Claim 2 has been re-written in independent form. Claim 2 was indicated allowable if re-written in independent form and the reason for allowance given in the previous Office Action, which is still valid after the updated search, is repeated below.

Claim 2 would be allowable because the image display device, to which the digital data is transmitted from the obvious scanner of Ishibe et al. (5,838,364) in view of McConica discussed for claim 1 in the previous Office Action, is not an LCD projector. The examiner found no motivation to modify the system of Ishibe et al. having a TV monitor for displaying the received data to include an LCD projector.

Claim 6 has been amended to define the "stand alone central processing unit (CPU)" to be a unit "not including a display device. The examiner found Applicant's arguments convincing that the system comprising the combination of the claimed stand alone scanner, stand alone central processing unit, and stand alone display device as claimed, is not taught by the prior art of record. See Applicant's remarks, section IV, B on pages 17-18.

Claims 7-9 and 11 depend on claim 6.

Claim 10 has been re-written in independent form. Claim 10 was indicated allowable if re-written in independent form and the reason for allowance given in the previous Office Action, which is still valid after the updated search, is repeated below.

Claim 10 requires a television monitor as the display device. The display device of the obvious scanner projection system of McConica in view of Applicant's prior art discussed for claim 6 in the previous Office Action is an LCD projector, not a TV monitor.

Claim 12 has been re-written in independent form. Claim 12 was indicated allowable if re-written in independent form and the reason for allowance given in the previous Office Action, which is still valid after the updated search, is repeated below.

Claim 12 requires that the CPU is further adapted to receive signals from an infrared (IR) remote control device, to convert the IR signals to digital data representative of IR signals, containing software to process the digital data to change the digital data representative of the object image transmitted to the display device to alter the scale and/or position of the portion of the object image displayed. McConica does not disclose that the computer (Fig. 1A) is adapted to receive and process IR signals as claimed and contains software to alter the scale and/or position of the portion of the object image display as claimed. The system of McConica in view of Applicant's admitted prior art discussed for claim 6 in the previous Office Action does not have a CPU as claimed.

Claim 13 has been amended to further define the converted digital data of the stand alone flatbed scanner to be digital data adapted for transmission "directly" to a display device. Claim 13 and its dependent claim 14 are allowable over McConica. The

Art Unit: 2625

examiner agrees with Applicant's remark that the McConica's scanner transmits image data that must be processed by the software on the remote computer to put it in digital form adapted fro transmission directly to a display device. See Applicant's remarks, section V on page 18.

Claim 14 depends on claim 13.

Claims 15-18 have been re-written in independent form. Claims 15-18 were indicated allowable if re-written in independent form and the reasons for allowance given in the previous Office Action, which is still valid after the updated search, is repeated below.

Claims 15-18 would be allowable because McConica does not disclose that the installed software is adapted to allow changing the displayed image scale, or is adapted to allow the portion of the image displayed to be shifted vertically and horizontally as claimed.

Claims 19 and 20 have been re-written in independent form. Claims 19 and 20 were indicated allowable if re-written in independent form and the reasons for allowance given in the previous Office Action, which is still valid after the updated search, is repeated below.

Claims 19 and 20 would be allowable because the image display device of McConica is a computer display monitor, not a television monitor or an LCD projector as claimed.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Page 8

Cheufe funlee

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cheukfan Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-7407. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward L. Coles can be reached on (571) 272-7402. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cheukfan Lee July 6, 2006